Journal of Studies on Art, Culture, and Society
Vol 3(2). July 2026
https://jacs.professorline.com/index.php/journal/id/index

A Dialogue between Traditional and Contemporary Fine Arts

Aerin Regina Putri[1*] and Alisa Rahma[2]

[1*]Global Scholarly Research Institute, Indonesia
[2]Universitas Negeri Makassar
*Correspondence author: aerinregina2@gmail.com

Abstract

Traditional art and contemporary art are often positioned dichotomically: one is perceived as representing the values of the past, while the other is understood as an expression of novelty and experimentation. This article aims to examine the dialogue that occurs between traditional and contemporary art, both in terms of concept, medium, and cultural meaning. Through a qualitative-descriptive approach and literature study, the discussion is focused on how contemporary artists reinterpret elements of tradition and how traditional art adapts in the contemporary context. The results of the study show that the relationship between the two is dialogical and dynamic, not mutually negotiating, but enriching each other in forming an artistic identity that is relevant to the development of the times.

Keywords: Traditional Art, Contemporary Art, Cultural Dialogue, Hybridity, Artistic Identity.

1. Introduction

The development of fine arts cannot be separated from the social, cultural, and historical dynamics of the supporting society. Fine art exists as a representation of the human way of looking at the world, as well as a medium to record, interpret, and respond to changes that occur in social life. In art discourse, especially in the study of fine arts, traditional art and contemporary art are often placed in opposite positions. Traditional art is generally understood as a manifestation of local values, ancestral heritage, and attachment to certain pakem, symbols, and functions that are inherited from generation to generation. Meanwhile, contemporary art is often associated with freedom of expression, medium experimentation, and courage in responding to global and contemporary issues [1].

This dichotomous view has the potential to simplify the complexity of art practices which are actually dynamic and intertwined. The firm separation between traditional and contemporary tends to ignore the fact that art practice is always in a process of change, negotiation, and continuous dialogue. Tradition does not exist as a frozen entity, while novelty is not completely detached from cultural roots. In many contexts, the two actually influence and shape each other [2].

In practice, many contemporary artists have made traditional art as the main source of inspiration, both in terms of visual, technical, and philosophical values. Traditional motifs, symbols,

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

and aesthetic principles are reprocessed through conceptual approaches and modern mediums to respond to social issues, cultural identity, and the challenges of globalization. On the other hand, traditional art has also undergone an adaptation process to remain relevant in the modern context, both through changes in its function, medium, and presentation method. This adaptation process shows that traditional art is inseparable from the dynamics of the times, but continues to transform according to the needs of its supporting communities [3].

This condition indicates a continuous dialogue between traditional and contemporary art. This dialogue takes place not only on a visual level, but also on a conceptual and ideological level, where local values interact with global influences. Through this dialogue, art acts as a space for negotiating meaning between the past and the present, as well as a medium for the formation of dynamic cultural identity. Therefore, this study aims to analyze the forms of dialogue between traditional and contemporary art and examine its implications for the formation of cultural identity and sustainability in art practice. This research is expected to make a theoretical and conceptual contribution in understanding the relationship between tradition and modernity in the context of contemporary art [4].

2. Materials and Methods

A qualitative approach with a descriptive-analytical method is used to understand the phenomenon of dialogue between traditional art and contemporary art in depth. This approach emphasizes meaning, interpretation, and cultural context that cannot be explained through quantitative measurement. The descriptive-analytical method allows for a systematic depiction of phenomena as well as an examination of the relationship between traditional and contemporary elements in fine art practice [5].

An understanding of the dialogue is gained through the study of various written sources, such as reference books, scientific articles, art exhibition catalogs, and other academic publications that discuss traditional art, contemporary art, and cultural theory. These sources come from local and global contexts, providing a broader picture of the development and theoretical debate in the discourse of fine arts [6].

In addition, visual observation and analysis of artworks that represent the meeting of traditional and contemporary elements is an important part of the discussion. The works feature the involvement of traditional elements both visually and conceptually, combined with contemporary mediums or approaches. Visual analysis is carried out by examining formal aspects such as form, color, composition, and technique, as well as the conceptual aspects behind the creation of the work [7].

The study process is carried out by identifying traditional elements present in contemporary artworks, such as motifs, symbols, craftsmanship, and philosophical values. These elements are then understood in relation to the social, cultural, and artistic context of the creation of the work. Through an interpretive approach, the relationship between traditional and contemporary art can be understood comprehensively and contextually, thus providing an overview of the meaning of cultural dialogue, identity formation, and the sustainability of fine art practices in the face of changing times [8].

3. Results

The dialogue between traditional art and contemporary art emerges in several key forms. One of them can be seen through the visual reinterpretation of traditional elements, such as motifs, ornaments, and symbols of local culture that are represented in contemporary works of art with modern mediums and techniques. These elements not only serve as decorative elements, but also as part of an aesthetic renewal effort that adapts to the times [9].

In addition to the visual aspect, the philosophical values and local wisdom contained in traditional art are often used as a conceptual foundation in the creation of contemporary works. These values are processed to respond to various social and global issues, so that tradition acts as a source

of relevant thinking in understanding today's reality [10].

This dialogue is also seen in the practice of cultural hybridity, which is the meeting between traditional elements and global influences in a single work of art. This mixture produces a form of visual expression that cannot be fully categorized as traditional or modern, but rather reflects a dynamic and evolving cultural identity. Through this approach, traditions are not only preserved, but also represented contextually, so that they remain relevant to today's society and contribute to cultural sustainability [11].

3.1 Reinterpretation of Traditional Visual Elements

Key findings suggest that many contemporary works of art utilize traditional visual elements as a primary source of aesthetic exploration. Elements such as geometric motifs, floral ornaments, cosmological symbols, and narrative patterns derived from traditional art are represented through different visual approaches. Motifs that previously had a ritual or symbolic function are recontextualized into aesthetic elements that are reflective and critical. In some works, the reinterpretation is carried out through changes in scale, composition, and medium. For example, traditional motifs that are generally applied to fabrics or functional objects are transformed into large-scale installations or two-dimensional works with experimental techniques. This transformation shows a shift in function, from cultural artifacts to a medium of artistic expression that is open to various interpretations [12].

3.1.1 Integration of Philosophical Values and Local Wisdom

In addition to the visual aspect, the dialogue between tradition and contemporary also takes place on a conceptual level. The philosophical values contained in traditional fine arts, such as the balance between humans and nature, the harmony of the cosmos, and the concept of spirituality, are often used as a basis in the creation of contemporary artworks. These values are not displayed literally, but are processed through visual narratives that are adapted to current issues, such as environmental crises, the search for cultural identity, and the dynamics of social change. This approach suggests that contemporary art does not solely utilize tradition as a visual or decorative element, but also as a framework of thinking and a source of critical reflection. In this context, tradition acts as a medium of dialogue that allows for a connection between past experiences and the reality of present life, so that artworks become a meeting space between cultural continuity and renewal of meaning [13].

3.1.2 Cultural Hybridity Practices

The practice of hybridity has become one of the most prominent forms of dialogue between traditional and contemporary art. This hybridity can be seen from the mixing of traditional techniques with modern approaches and technologies, such as the use of traditional motifs processed through digital media, video art, or multimedia installations. This mixing gives birth to a form of visual expression that is no longer bound by the limitations of traditional or modern categorization, but rather presents new aesthetic possibilities that are more fluid and open. In addition to the aspects of medium and technique, hybridity is also seen in the incorporation of local and global contexts. Local cultural symbols, narratives, and values are often raised and presented in global discourse through international exhibitions, artist residencies, and digital platforms. This condition shows that the dialogue between tradition and contemporary does not only take place within the local cultural space, but also moves within a transnational cultural network that influences each other [14].

Furthermore, this practice of hybridity reflects the ever-evolving dynamics of cultural identity. Fine art is a space for negotiation between the roots of tradition and the increasingly complex global reality. In this context, tradition is not positioned as something static or closed, but as a source of inspiration that is adaptive and able to transform according to the changing times. Through hybridity, contemporary art presents a new way of understanding the sustainability of tradition as a living process that is relevant to today's social context [15].

3.1.3 Changing Meaning and Function of Traditional Art

There is a change in the meaning and function of traditional art when presented in the context

of contemporary art. Traditional art that initially played a role as part of religious rituals, customs, or daily activities of the community has shifted its function to become a medium of artistic expression that is reflective and critical. This change marked the transformation of traditional art from utilitarian and symbolic functions to conceptual functions that were more open to diverse interpretations [16].

This shift in meaning does not necessarily eliminate the original values contained in traditional art. On the contrary, the original meaning has been expanded through the presence of a new layer of interpretation adapted to today's social and cultural context. The traditional elements that are re-raised in contemporary art still carry historical and symbolic traces, but at the same time are given space to convey ideas about actual issues, such as cultural identity, power relations, and the impact of globalization on local culture. In this context, contemporary art serves as a space for the negotiation of meaning between the past and the present. Artworks exist as a dialogical medium that allows for a review of the relevance of tradition in modern life. Through this approach, tradition is not positioned as something static or obsolete, but as a source of value that is adaptive and able to respond to the dynamics of changing times. Thus, the arts play an important role in reaffirming local values as part of efforts to maintain cultural sustainability in the midst of increasingly intensive globalization [17].

3.1.4 Contribution to Cultural Sustainability

The dialogue between traditional art and contemporary art makes an important contribution to cultural sustainability. Through the process of adaptation and reinterpretation of traditional elements, contemporary art acts as a medium that allows cultural values to be transmitted actively and contextually. Tradition is no longer understood as a static heritage, but as a source of creativity that continues to undergo renewal along with social and cultural dynamics. The process of regenerating cultural values is one of the important aspects of this dialogue, especially in reaching the younger generation. Visual and conceptual approaches in contemporary art tend to be closer to the experiences and perspectives of today's generations. Thus, traditional elements can be presented again in a more communicative and relevant form, thereby encouraging the interest and understanding of the younger generation in local cultural values. Traditions are not only passed on passively, but are revived through creative practices that involve exploration, interpretation, and innovation [18].

In addition to playing a role in cultural regeneration, the dialogical approach between tradition and contemporary also expands the space for appreciation of traditional fine arts. Works that combine elements of tradition with a contemporary approach have the potential to reach a wider audience, beyond the boundaries of the tradition's supportive community. Through exhibitions, digital media, and various global platforms, art rooted in local traditions gains greater visibility at the national and international levels. This shows that cultural dialogue functions not only as a preservation effort, but also as a strategy for expanding the discourse of art in a global space. Furthermore, the dialogue between traditional and contemporary art encourages the creation of artistic innovation without letting go of cultural roots. The innovations that were born were not ahistorical, but rested on an understanding of traditional values. In this way, cultural sustainability is not solely measured by the ability to maintain old forms, but by the capacity of culture to adapt, negotiate, and remain relevant in the face of changing times. The dialogue emphasized the strategic role of the arts in maintaining cultural sustainability while enriching art practices in the future [19].

4. Discussion

The relationship between traditional art and contemporary art can be understood as a dialogical and complementary relationship, not as two opposing poles. The presence of traditional elements in contemporary art practice suggests that tradition is not positioned as something frozen and tied entirely to the past, but rather as a living, dynamic and open creative source to renewal. Tradition becomes a space for exploration that allows for the transformation of meaning along with changes in the social and cultural context. Within the framework of cultural hybridity, contemporary art serves as a meeting space between local values and global influences. The traditional elements that are represented in the artwork are not simply visually reproduced, but reprocessed through

contextual conceptual, medium, and aesthetic approaches. This processing process opens up the possibility of shifting and expanding meaning, so that traditions can speak in visual language that is relevant to today's reality. Through this process, art reflects the fluid, layered, and continuously negotiated dynamics of cultural identity [20].

Dialogue between tradition and contemporary also has an important role in the formation and strengthening of cultural identity. The elevation of traditional values through a contemporary approach allows art to function as a medium of reflection on social, political, and cultural changes. Works of art are not only present as aesthetic objects, but also as a means of criticism, reflection, and response to various problems faced by society. In this context, art helps to maintain the relevance of local values in the midst of globalization that tends to standardize cultural expression. In addition, the adaptation of traditional art into a modern context opens up opportunities for cultural sustainability without having to give up its historical roots. Traditions are not rigidly maintained, but reinterpreted in order to be able to communicate with a wider generation and audience. This approach emphasizes that cultural preservation and innovation are not two things that are mutually exclusive, but can go hand in hand through reflective, critical, and creative art practices. Furthermore, this dialogue also encourages the creation of a more inclusive appreciation space for fine arts. Works that combine traditional elements and contemporary approaches have the potential to reach across communities, generations, and cultures. Fine art is a medium of communication that is able to bridge differences in social and cultural backgrounds, while opening up a wider space for understanding the diversity of artistic expression. Thus, contemporary art can be understood as a bridge that connects tradition and modernity. This role as a bridge allows the transfer of cultural values across generations, while creating a space for dialogue between the past, present, and future. Through continuous dialogue, the arts play a strategic role in facing the challenges of globalization and in building an inclusive, adaptive, and sustainable art ecosystem [21].

5. Conclusions

The dialogue between traditional art and contemporary art can be understood as a dynamic, sustainable, and mutually enriching process. The relationship between the two cannot be seen as a contradiction between the past and the present, but rather as a creative interaction that allows for the exchange of values, meanings, and forms of expression. Traditional art acts as a foundation that provides symbolic, philosophical, and cultural identity values that are firmly rooted in the local context. Meanwhile, contemporary art presents a space for freedom of experimentation and the ability to respond critically to social, cultural, and global realities.

Through various forms of visual reinterpretation, the integration of philosophical values, as well as the practice of cultural hybridity, traditional elements can be revived in a context that is more relevant to the development of the times. This process not only expands the meaning of traditional art, but also strengthens its position as an ever-evolving source of inspiration. Traditions are not rigidly and statically maintained, but rather managed as part of a creative process that is adaptive, open, and contextual to social change.

The dialogical approach between traditional and contemporary art also has an important role in the formation of cultural identity and the sustainability of local values. Through reflective and innovative art practices, cultural values can be transmitted across generations without losing their historical roots. Contemporary art serves as a bridge that connects tradition and modernity, as well as a medium that expands the space for appreciation of traditional art, both at the local and global levels.

Thus, dialogue between traditional and contemporary art can be seen as an important cultural strategy in building a relevant, inclusive, and sustainable art ecosystem. This approach not only contributes to the preservation of traditions, but also encourages the birth of artistic innovations rooted in cultural values. Through an ever-evolving dialogue, the arts have the potential to respond to global challenges while maintaining and celebrating local cultural richness as part of a shared identity.

References

[1] O. P. Mishra and P. Bahuguna, “The Evolution of Art in 21st Century: A Critical Analysis,” *J. Glob. Values*, 2025, doi: 10.31995/jgv.2025.v16i01.025.

[2] Ernawati, S. Margana, and M. Marianto, “Hybrid Aesthetics in Contemporary Crafts: The Presence of Sustainable Art and Craft and Vernacular Themes in the Wayang Performances in Indonesia,” *Int. Soc. Study Vernac. Settlements*, 2024, doi: 10.61275/isvsej-2024-11-06-03.

[3] S. Wang, S. Shahir, and M. U. Ismail, “Innovative Practice of Cultural Identity in Contemporary Art under the Context of Globalization,” *PaperASIA*, 2025, doi: 10.59953/paperasia.v41i4b.624.

[4] A. E. Akintobi, “Examining Cross-Cultural Influences and Geographic Transitions On the Evolution of Artistic Styles in Global Creative Communities,” *Eng. Technol. J.*, 2025, doi: 10.47191/etj/v10i09.09.

[5] Y. Lilin, “Xia Junna’s work in the context of the transformation of the figurative language of contemporary Chinese art,” *Vestn. Saint Petersbg. State Univ. Cult.*, 2025, doi: 10.30725/2619-0303-2025-2-145-149.

[6] N. Li and D. Lu, “Deconstructing the map of the paradigm struggle: tracing a nationwide debate on ‘I do not get it’ in Art Magazine (Meishu),” *Humanit. Soc. Sci. Commun.*, vol. 12, 2025, doi: 10.1057/s41599-025-04436-4.

[7] A. Brenyuk, “Basics of analyzing works of painting for students of artistic specialties,” *Pedagog. Educ. Pract.*, 2023, doi: 10.32626/2309-9763.2023-34-188-200.

[8] S. Qiang, “Research on Application of Traditional Elements in Contemporary Oil Painting,” 2019, [Online]. Available: https://consensus.app/papers/research-on-application-of-traditional-elements-in-qiang/8a3bdf24d79e5af3acb3448edf16befc/

[9] L. Xing, H. A. Razak, L. M. Binti, and M. Noh, “The Aesthetic Development of Traditional Chinese Landscape Painting in Contemporary Landscape Painting,” *Art Perform. Lett.*, 2023, doi: 10.23977/artpl.2023.040709.

[10] X. Tian, “Research on The Influence of Chinese Traditional Philosophy on the Artistic Conception of Contemporary Meticulous Flower and Bird Painting,” 2020, doi: 10.23977/ieesasm.2019.588.

[11] E. Ernawati, S. Margana, and M. Marianto, “Re-actualization of Traditional Themes in Contemporary Craft Art through the Concept of Hybrid Aesthetics,” *Int. J. Curr. Sci. Res. Rev.*, 2024, doi: 10.47191/ijcsrr/v7-i4-12.

[12] A. Wahida and H. Himawan, “Contemporary Textile Design Creation Sourced from Visual Aesthetics of Kawung Motif Classical Batik,” *Mudra J. Seni Budaya*, 2024, doi: 10.31091/mudra.v39i4.1807.

[13] M. Michałowska, “Artists in the face of threats of climate change,” *Oceanologia*, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.oceano.2020.03.003.

[14] T. Liu and W. Xiang, “To Explore the Inheritance of Computer Multimedia Art Design Based on Traditional Chinese Cultural Elements,” *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.*, vol. 1574, 2020, doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/1574/1/012019.

[15] Liaoanshun and S. Banerjee, “DELVING INTO THE REALM OF CULTURAL SUSTAINABILITY BY STUDYING HOW TRADITIONAL CRAFTS MIGHT BE SEAMLESSLY INTEGRATED WITH MODERN DESIGN,” *Cuest. Fisioter.*, 2024, doi: 10.48047/v5bakm73.

[16] Y. Sakla and S. Pandey, “RITUAL TO RECOGNITION: MODERN VISUAL LANGUAGE OF RAM SINGH URVETI,” *ShodhShreejan J. Creat. Res. Insights*, 2025, doi: 10.29121/shodhshreejan.v2.i2.2025.29.

[17] A. Pavliv, O. Mosendz, O. Rybchenko, A. Avula, and P. Fryz, “Interplay of tradition and modernity in contemporary art practices,” *Rev. Amaz. Investig.*, 2024, doi: 10.34069/ai/2024.75.03.28.

[18] L. Zhang and Y. Yang, “Comprehensive Application of Traditional Cultural Elements in Modern Art Design,” *Acad. J. Humanit. & Soc. Sci.*, 2023, doi: 10.25236/ajhss.2023.060406.

[19] E. Härkönen, M. Huhmarniemi, and T. Jokela, “Crafting Sustainability: Handcraft in

Contemporary Art and Cultural Sustainability in the Finnish Lapland," *Sustainability*, 2018, doi: 10.3390/su10061907.

[20] C. Yi and S. Abdullah, "Artistic Hybridity and Local Environmental Awareness: Modern Ink Painting by Nanyang Pioneer Artists," *Environ. Proc. J.*, 2024, doi: 10.21834/e-bpj.v9isi23.6147.

[21] S. A. Mitasova, "Intercultural communication in contemporary art: From provocation to integration," *Filoz. i Drus.*, 2024, doi: 10.2298/fid2401141m.