Journal of Studies on Art, Culture, and Society
Vol 3(2). July 2026
https://jacs.professorline.com/index.php/journal/id/index

Art, Religion, and Cultural Identity: A Review of Recent Publications

Marsa[1*] and Zahra Raudhatum Nisaa[2]

[1*]Global Scholarly Research Institute, Indonesia
[2]Institut Seni Indonesia
*Correspondence author: marsamarsa699@gmail.com

Abstract

This article reviews recent scholarly publications examining the dynamic relationship between art, religion, and cultural identity in contemporary and historical contexts. The review highlights how artistic expression functions not only as aesthetic production but also as a medium for spiritual communication, cultural preservation, and identity formation. Across diverse societies, religion has significantly influenced artistic forms, iconography, and symbolism, shaping collective memory and moral values. Recent literature emphasizes the role of globalization, migration, and digital media in transforming religiously inspired art and renegotiating cultural identity. By synthesizing findings from interdisciplinary sources including art history, anthropology, theology, and cultural studies this review identifies key theoretical frameworks, methodological trends, and emerging debates. The article argues that contemporary scholarship increasingly positions art as an active site of dialogue where sacred traditions and modern identities intersect, adapt, and evolve.

Keywords: Art, Religion, Cultural Identity, Symbolism, Globalization and Artistic Expression.

1. Introduction

The intersection of art, religion, and cultural identity has become an important field of scholarly inquiry, as artistic expression functions not only as aesthetic production but also as a medium of spiritual communication, theological teaching, and communal belonging. Across religious traditions Islam, Christianity, Hinduism, Buddhism, and Indigenous beliefs sacred art appears in diverse forms such as architecture, painting, sculpture, textiles, calligraphy, and ritual performance, conveying cosmological narratives, moral values, and collective memory. Beyond devotion, religious art also reflects structures of authority and patronage, often used historically to legitimize political power. Colonial encounters further reshaped its meanings through appropriation and museumization, prompting post colonial efforts to reclaim indigenous interpretations. In the contemporary global era, digital media and transnational exchange have expanded the circulation of sacred imagery while raising debates on commodification and authenticity. Therefore, religious art must be understood within its broader socio-historical context, where faith, power, and identity continuously interact and evolve [1].

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

2. Materials and Methods

This review utilizes a qualitative systematic literature review combined with interpretive meta synthesis to critically evaluate recent academic discourse on art, religion, and cultural identity. The methodological design emphasizes interdisciplinarity, recognizing that sacred art cannot be adequately examined through a single disciplinary lens. Therefore, sources were drawn from art history, anthropology, religious studies, sociology, cultural studies, museum studies, and visual studies [2].

Search strategies involved Boolean keyword combinations and citation tracking to identify both foundational and emerging works. In addition to database searches, backward and forward citation indexing was conducted to trace influential theoretical lineages and contemporary debates. Publications were then stratified by geographic region (e.g., Middle East, South Asia, Southeast Asia, Europe, Africa, the Americas), religious tradition, and artistic medium. Analytical coding focused on five interpretive dimensions: (1) materiality and sensory experience, (2) iconography and symbolism, (3) institutional patronage and power, (4) colonial/post-colonial transformation, and (5) globalization and digital mediation. This layered analytical framework allowed the review to move beyond descriptive summary toward critical synthesis, highlighting convergences, tensions, and methodological innovations across the literature [3].

3. Results

The expanded analysis reveals increasingly complex scholarly trajectories. First, sacred art is consistently framed as an embodied practice rather than solely visual representation. Studies emphasize multisensory engagement touch, sound, movement, scent within ritual environments, demonstrating how meaning emerges through performative interaction rather than passive viewing. Sacred objects such as prayer beads, incense burners, ritual textiles, and liturgical instruments are not merely symbolic artifacts but active mediators of spiritual experience. Architectural acoustics in cathedrals, the rhythmic chanting in temples, and the tactile engagement with relics or sacred scripts illustrate how sensory immersion produces affective and transcendent states. This perspective, influenced by material religion and sensory anthropology, shifts analysis from iconography alone to lived religious experience [4].

Second, researchers identify a resurgence of interest in intangible heritage. Ritual performance, liturgical music, pilgrimage crafts, and ceremonial dress are now examined alongside canonical visual arts, broadening the definitional scope of “religious art.” Scholars argue that sacred creativity is not confined to permanent objects but also resides in ephemeral practices transmitted through generations. Dance rituals, oral liturgies, body ornamentation, and seasonal festivals function as embodied archives of theology and cosmology. UNESCO heritage discourse and cultural preservation movements have further encouraged documentation of these living traditions, recognizing their vulnerability amid modernization and cultural homogenization [5].

Third, the literature highlights institutional shifts in museums and galleries. Curatorial practices increasingly collaborate with source communities to contextualize sacred objects ethically. Exhibitions now incorporate ritual narratives, oral histories, and community authored interpretations. Rather than presenting sacred artifacts as isolated aesthetic specimens, museums are reconfiguring displays to reflect spiritual function, cultural ownership, and contemporary relevance. Practices such as restricted viewing protocols, ceremonial activation of objects, and co curation with religious leaders illustrate an emerging ethics of care. These transformations signal a broader epistemological shift from colonial collecting models toward dialogical and participatory museology [6].

Fourth, economic dimensions have gained visibility. The global art market’s demand for sacred and ethnographic objects has influenced production, replication, and commodification, particularly in tourist economies. Artisans often produce ritual inspired works for commercial circulation, navigating tensions between livelihood sustainability and spiritual integrity. While commercialization can revitalize traditional craftsmanship and provide economic resilience, it may

also detach objects from ritual context, reducing sacred symbols to decorative commodities. Scholars therefore interrogate authenticity, value attribution, and the power asymmetries embedded in global art trade networks [7].

Finally, environmental and spatial studies emerge as new directions, examining sacred landscapes, pilgrimage routes, and ecological symbolism embedded in religious art traditions. Mountains, rivers, forests, and pilgrimage pathways are increasingly interpreted as extensions of sacred visual culture, where cosmology is inscribed onto geography. Artistic markers such as shrines, carvings, mandalas, and ritual architecture transform natural environments into sacralized spaces. Contemporary eco theological scholarship further explores how religious art encodes environmental ethics, sustainability values, and cosmological interdependence. In this sense, sacred art not only represents belief systems but also shapes human relationships with the natural world, positioning ecology as an integral dimension of spiritual and cultural identity [8].

4. Discussion

These findings position religious art as a nexus where metaphysical belief systems intersect with material, political, and economic realities. The concept of material religion is especially influential, arguing that religious experience is mediated through objects, bodies, and spaces rather than existing solely in abstract belief. Sacred art thus operates not only representationally but ontologically it makes the sacred present and experientially accessible. Icons, relics, ritual garments, and sacred architecture function as loci of divine encounter, where spiritual presence is sensed, performed, and embodied. This perspective challenges earlier art historical approaches that privileged visual analysis alone, instead emphasizing ritual activation, sensory immersion, and devotional use [9].

Power dynamics remain central to this intersection. Systems of patronage historically determined artistic scale, iconographic orthodoxy, artistic labor organization, and access to sacred spaces. Monarchies, imperial courts, and religious institutions commissioned monumental works to materialize theological authority and political sovereignty. The construction of grand mosques, cathedrals, stupas, and temples exemplifies how sacred art legitimized governance through divine symbolism. However, contemporary artistic production increasingly reflects grassroots and community based initiatives rather than centralized religious authorities. Independent artists, local collectives, and minority communities now produce sacred-inspired works that reinterpret doctrine through lived experience. This democratization reshapes authorship, decentralizes interpretive control, and diversifies theological visuality [10].

Post colonial discourse further reframes museum collections as sites of epistemic contestation. Colonial extraction relocated countless sacred objects from ritual environments into secular institutions, where they were reclassified as ethnographic or aesthetic specimens. This displacement not only altered their meaning but also severed relationships between objects and worshipping communities. Current debates over restitution and repatriation underscore how sacred art remains entangled in historical injustices, legal disputes, and moral accountability. Decolonial methodologies advocate re sacralization returning objects to ritual circulation where appropriate, or at minimum recontextualizing them through community collaboration, indigenous curatorship, and culturally sensitive display protocols [11].

Globalization intensifies hybridity but also produces asymmetrical cultural flows. Transnational mobility, tourism, and global exhibitions facilitate cross cultural artistic exchange, generating hybrid sacred aesthetics that blend iconographic traditions, materials, and techniques. Yet, Western art institutions often retain gatekeeping power over global recognition, canon formation, and market valuation. This imbalance shapes which sacred art forms gain visibility and legitimacy. Simultaneously, diasporic artists employ sacred symbolism to articulate layered identities shaped by migration, racism, exile, and cultural negotiation. Their works frequently merge ancestral religious motifs with contemporary political critique, positioning sacred art as a language of resistance, healing, and self-representation [12].

Digital mediation represents perhaps the most transformative shift in the contemporary

landscape. Virtual reality temples, augmented ritual environments, NFT sacred art, and algorithmic curation raise unprecedented theological, philosophical, and ethical questions. Can sacred presence be digitized without losing sanctity? Who holds authority over digital reproduction of holy symbols? What constitutes authenticity when sacred imagery becomes infinitely replicable? Online worship spaces and livestreamed rituals further blur boundaries between physical and virtual devotion. While digital platforms democratize access and preservation, they also risk desacralization through memeification, commercialization, and unauthorized circulation [13].

Moreover, algorithm driven visibility introduces new power structures. Platform economies determine which sacred images gain prominence, shaping global perceptions of religious aesthetics. This technological mediation reconfigures curatorial authority from museums to digital infrastructures, raising concerns over data ownership, cultural consent, and representational bias. Taken together, these dynamics reveal that religious art is not static heritage but an evolving field shaped by historical memory, institutional power, technological innovation, and global cultural negotiation. It remains a vital arena where transcendence, identity, and material reality continuously converge and are reimagined [14].

5. Conclusions

This review concludes that the relationship between art, religion, and cultural identity is dynamic, historically contingent, and deeply embedded in broader socio political transformations. Religious art cannot be reduced to aesthetic form alone; it is simultaneously devotional practice, cultural archive, ideological instrument, and site of resistance. Through sacred images, ritual objects, architecture, and performative traditions, communities transmit cosmologies, moral frameworks, and collective memories across generations. In this sense, religious art operates as a living repository of belief one that is continually reinterpreted in response to shifting historical conditions.

Recent scholarship demonstrates a decisive shift toward interdisciplinary and decolonial methodologies that foreground materiality, sensory experience, and marginalized voices. Rather than privileging Eurocentric canons or purely formal analysis, contemporary studies emphasize indigenous epistemologies, community-authored narratives, and embodied ritual practices. This shift has reoriented the field toward ethical reflexivity questioning ownership, representation, and interpretive authority over sacred cultural heritage. Decolonial approaches also challenge museum display regimes, advocating restitution, repatriation, and culturally responsive curation that restores sacred objects to their relational and spiritual contexts.

Moreover, the review underscores how religious art remains deeply responsive to contemporary socio political realities. Sacred symbolism is increasingly mobilized within movements addressing identity politics, environmental justice, migration, and post conflict reconciliation. Artists draw upon religious iconography to critique oppression, memorialize trauma, and articulate alternative futures grounded in spiritual resilience. Thus, sacred art functions not only as preservation of tradition but also as an agent of transformation and social commentary.

Future research should expand comparative global frameworks, integrating case studies across diverse regions to avoid reductive generalizations. Greater engagement with digital ethnographic methods is also essential, particularly as ritual practices and sacred visualities migrate into virtual environments. Collaborative partnerships with faith communities, artisans, and cultural custodians should be prioritized to ensure ethically grounded scholarship that respects ritual protocols, cultural sovereignty, and interpretive plurality.

In addition, emerging areas such as artificial intelligence generated sacred imagery, immersive virtual pilgrimage, and climate threatened sacred heritage sites require urgent scholarly attention. These developments raise profound theological and ethical questions concerning authorship, sanctity, preservation, and technological mediation of the sacred.

In an era marked by rapid globalization and technological change, sacred art will continue to play a vital role in shaping how communities articulate spirituality, memory, and belonging. Its forms may evolve from stone temples to digital sanctuaries but its core function as a mediator between the human and the transcendent, the past and the present, the local and the global, will

remain enduring. Consequently, sustained interdisciplinary inquiry is essential to understanding how art, religion, and cultural identity will continue to co-constitute one another in the future cultural landscape.

References

[1] V. Onibere and P. Ottuh, "Intersection of Art and Religion in African Cultures," *Perad. J. Relig. Soc.*, 2024, doi: 10.59001/pjrs.v3i2.148.

[2] W.-T. Lai, L.-M. Wu, and M.-T. Hsu, "[Qualitative Systematic Review Research Method: A Meta-Ethnographic Synthesis].," *Hu Li Za Zhi*, vol. 72 2, pp. 96–106, 2025, doi: 10.6224/jn.202504_72(2).12.

[3] S. Briscoe, A. Bethel, and M. Rogers, "Conduct and reporting of citation searching in Cochrane systematic reviews: A cross-sectional study," *Res. Synth. Methods*, vol. 11, pp. 169–180, 2019, doi: 10.1002/jrsm.1355.

[4] R. Markum, S. Wolf, C. Claisse, and M. Hoefer, "Mediating the Sacred: Configuring a Design Space for Religious and Spiritual Tangible Interactive Artifacts," *Proc. Eighteenth Int. Conf. Tangible, Embed. Embodied Interact.*, 2024, doi: 10.1145/3623509.3633353.

[5] Y. Zuo and M. Zhang, "Intangible Cultural Heritage in Tourism: Research Review and Investigation of Future Agenda," *Land*, 2022, doi: 10.3390/land11010139.

[6] N. Chipangura, "Co-curation and New Museology in Reorganizing the Beit Gallery at the Mutare Museum, Eastern Zimbabwe," *Curator Museum J.*, vol. 63, pp. 431–446, 2020, doi: 10.1111/cura.12375.

[7] Y. Yusrijal, L. Aliyan, and R. Rina, "The Impact of Digitalization on Traditional Handicraft Markets Among the Toraja Community," *J. Soc. Civilecial*, 2025, doi: 10.71435/610846.

[8] J. Liro, I. Sołjan, and E. Bilska-Wodecka, "Symbolic Religious Landscape: Religious and Patriotic Symbolism in the Pilgrimage Centres in Poland," *Religions*, 2022, doi: 10.3390/rel14010033.

[9] R. Slatter, "Materiality and the extended geographies of religion: the institutional design and everyday experiences of London's Wesleyan Methodist circuits, 1851–1932," *J. Hist. Geogr.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.jhg.2019.01.001.

[10] K. Noobanjong, "Aesthetic of Power: A Critical Study on the Politics of Representations at Wat Benchama Bophit and Wat Phra Sri Mahathat, Bangkhen," *J. Archit. Res. Stud.*, 2018, doi: 10.56261/jars.v7i2.168842.

[11] L. G. Reinius, "Museology from within. Thinking critically through and with museums," pp. 150–153, 2018, doi: 10.5617/nm.6415.

[12] S. Kuehn, "Contemporary Art and Sufi Aesthetics in European Contexts," *Religions*, 2023, doi: 10.3390/rel14020196.

[13] S. Dein and F. Watts, "Religious worship online: A qualitative study of two Sunday virtual services," *Arch. Psychol. Relig. Für Relig.*, vol. 45, pp. 191–209, 2023, doi: 10.1177/00846724221145348.

[14] H. Campbell and G. Evolvi, "Contextualizing current digital religion research on emerging technologies," *Hum. Behav. Emerg. Technol.*, 2019, doi: 10.1002/hbe2.149.