Journal of Studies on Art, Culture, and Society
Vol 3(2). July 2026
https://jacs.professorline.com/index.php/journal/id/index

Mosaic of Arts and Cultures: From the Local to the Global

Siti Nur Avira[1*] and Elza Inayati Sainong[2]

[1*]Global Scholarly Research Institute, Indonesia
[2]Universitas Islam Negri Alauddin Makassar
*Correspondence author: nuravira127@gmail.com

Abstract

Art and culture are two inseparable domains embedded in the dynamics of social life. In the context of globalization, the relationship between art and culture has become increasingly complex due to human mobility, technological advancement, and intensified cross-cultural exchanges. This article examines how various forms of artistic expression including performing arts, visual arts, music, literature, media arts, and digital arts interact with local, popular, global, subaltern, hybrid, and transnational cultural dynamics. Rather than viewing art merely as an aesthetic object, this study positions it as a social practice deeply intertwined with power relations, identity formation, historical contexts, and cultural economies. Employing an interdisciplinary approach that integrates cultural studies, sociology of art, anthropology, and media studies, this article demonstrates that art does not simply reflect social reality but actively shapes how societies understand identity, space, and intercultural relations. The metaphor of the "mosaic" is used to describe the diversity of global arts and cultures as composed of distinct yet interconnected fragments within a global network. The study argues that globalization does not solely produce cultural homogenization; rather, it also creates spaces for dialogue, creativity, and negotiation of meaning between the local and the global.

Keywords: Art, Culture, Globalization, Hybridity, Transnationalism, Identity, Digital Media, Popular Culture.

1. Introduction

Art has always been an integral part of human life. In every society, art functions not only as a means of aesthetic expression but also as a medium of communication, collective memory, and cultural identity representation. Through art, communities narrate their histories, negotiate social values, and express spiritual, emotional, and political experiences. However, in recent decades, the relationship between art and culture has undergone significant transformation due to globalization and digital technological developments [1].

Globalization has accelerated the flow of ideas, images, symbols, and artistic practices across national borders. Artists no longer operate within isolated cultural spaces but within interconnected global networks. Digital platforms such as YouTube, Instagram, TikTok, and music

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

streaming services enable local artworks to reach global audiences while simultaneously introducing global cultural influences into local contexts. This process generates encounters, tensions, and hybridizations between local traditions and global aesthetics.

In academic scholarship, art is often analyzed through two dominant approaches: formal aesthetics and creative economy. The aesthetic approach emphasizes artistic value and beauty, while the economic perspective frames art as part of the cultural industry. This article offers an alternative perspective by conceptualizing art as a social practice embedded in cultural, political, and power relations. The concept of the "mosaic of arts and culture" serves as an analytical framework for understanding diversity and interconnectedness among artistic traditions. Like a mosaic composed of different pieces, global arts consist of unique local traditions that interact within a shared global space [2].

2. Local Art in the Dynamics of Social Change

Local art is deeply rooted in tradition, ritual, and the everyday life of communities, serving as both cultural expression and social practice. Forms such as traditional dance, ethnic music, folk theatre, oral literature, and visual crafts function not merely as entertainment but as vital mechanisms for transmitting cultural knowledge, values, and collective memory across generations. These artistic expressions often embody relationships between humans, nature, spirituality, and social organization, reflecting how communities understand their environment and their place within it [3].

Nevertheless, local art is not static or frozen in time. The influences of formal education, mass media, tourism, urbanization, and digital technology have significantly transformed how local art is created, performed, and interpreted. Many contemporary artists integrate traditional elements with modern techniques, such as combining gamelan music with electronic soundscapes, blending traditional dance with contemporary choreography, or adapting batik motifs into digital graphic design.

These transformations demonstrate that local art is adaptive rather than threatened by globalization. Instead of disappearing, many traditions undergo revitalization in new forms that resonate with younger generations while preserving cultural continuity [4].

3. Local Art in the Dynamics of Social Change

Globalization has blurred the boundaries between local and global cultures, enabling unprecedented levels of artistic exchange and collaboration. Artists from different countries now work together through digital platforms, share creative techniques, and merge diverse cultural aesthetics. This has led to the emergence of hybrid art forms that defy rigid cultural categorization as either purely "local" or purely "global."

Hybridization is particularly visible in world music, where traditional rhythms are combined with jazz, hip-hop, electronic, or pop genres to create innovative soundscapes. In visual arts, many artists integrate indigenous symbols with digital aesthetics and global themes such as environmental sustainability, migration, and social justice.

However, globalization also presents challenges, especially regarding the dominance of Western culture in the global creative industry. Many local artists face pressure to conform to international market trends, which can risk diluting cultural authenticity and reducing artistic diversity [5].

4. Popular Culture and Digital Art

Popular culture has become a central arena for the production and circulation of cultural meaning in contemporary society. Film, popular music, television series, video games, and social media content significantly shape public perceptions of identity, morality, and social values. Digital art including animation, graphic design, AI-generated imagery, and interactive media has expanded

traditional definitions of what constitutes art.

One of the most significant shifts in contemporary art is the transition from elite, institutionally controlled art to participatory digital creativity. Whereas artistic production was once dominated by professional artists and cultural institutions, today virtually anyone can become a creator using digital tools and platforms. Practices such as remixing, meme creation, and online collaboration foster a more democratic cultural ecosystem, though they are also increasingly shaped by commercial interests [6].

5. Subaltern Art and the Politics of Representation

Subaltern communities those marginalized due to social, economic, cultural, or political inequalities often employ art as a crucial tool for resistance, self-expression, and identity affirmation. For groups that have historically been silenced, excluded, or misrepresented within dominant cultural narratives, artistic practice becomes a vital space for articulating lived experiences that are otherwise ignored or distorted. Forms such as street art, community theatre, independent filmmaking, performance art, and marginal literature do not merely serve as creative outlets; they function as alternative public spheres where subaltern voices can be heard, seen, and recognized. These artistic platforms enable marginalized communities to narrate their own histories, challenge stereotypes, and assert their presence within broader social and cultural landscapes that have long been shaped by hegemonic power structures [7].

Subaltern art is therefore not merely aesthetic in nature but fundamentally political and socially transformative. Through visual symbols, narratives, performances, and creative interventions, subaltern artists critically engage with issues such as colonial legacies, racial discrimination, patriarchy, structural violence, and economic exploitation. Their work often exposes the contradictions of dominant systems of power while simultaneously imagining alternative possibilities for social justice and cultural recognition. Rather than being neutral or purely expressive, subaltern artistic practices operate as forms of cultural resistance that disrupt mainstream representations and reclaim agency over identity and storytelling.

In this sense, art becomes a symbolic battlefield in which marginalized groups contest meaning, power, and visibility. It is a space where struggles for dignity, equality, and belonging are enacted through creative expression. By transforming public spaces, media platforms, and cultural narratives, subaltern artists do not simply seek inclusion within existing structures but actively challenge and reshape them. Through these processes, art becomes a powerful medium for political engagement, collective empowerment, and the reconfiguration of social legitimacy within contemporary cultural politics [8].

6. The Mosaic of Arts and Culture as a Theoretical Framework

The mosaic metaphor provides a particularly valuable theoretical framework for understanding cultural diversity not as fragmentation or disunity, but as a form of richness, complexity, and creative potential. Unlike models that assume cultural integration requires homogenization or assimilation, the mosaic perspective allows for difference, plurality, and coexistence without demanding uniformity. Each artistic tradition whether rooted in local, indigenous, popular, or transnational contexts possesses its own aesthetic logic, symbolic language, historical memory, and social meaning. At the same time, these traditions do not exist in isolation; they continuously interact, influence, and reshape one another through processes of migration, mediation, and cultural exchange within increasingly interconnected global networks [9].

Within this framework, culture is understood as dynamic rather than static, relational rather than bounded, and processual rather than fixed. Artistic practices are seen as evolving configurations of meaning that emerge from encounters between different cultural traditions,

technological systems, and social structures. The mosaic thus rejects hierarchical models that privilege certain cultures as superior or more "authentic," instead emphasizing mutual interdependence and creative reciprocity among diverse cultural expressions.

Rather than interpreting globalization as a force that inevitably erases cultural differences, this article conceptualizes it as an arena of creative dialogue, negotiation, and transformation. Globalization generates both tensions and opportunities: while it can produce cultural domination and commodification, it also enables unprecedented forms of artistic collaboration, experimentation, and intercultural learning. Within this context, art functions as a dynamic meeting point where local and global influences converge, collide, and coevolve. It becomes a space where tradition interacts with modernity, indigenous knowledge engages with digital innovation, and marginalized voices dialogue with dominant cultural narratives. Through this process, art mediates relationships between center and periphery, power and resistance, continuity and change [9].

7. Discussion

The analysis presented in this article demonstrates that art operates as a crucial field of meaning negotiation between local and global forces rather than merely reflecting pre-existing social realities. Artistic practices are deeply embedded within broader structures of culture, politics, and power, and they play an active role in shaping how individuals and communities understand themselves and others. Through symbolic representation, creative expression, and cultural storytelling, art contributes to the formation of identities, the reconfiguration of social relationships, and the mediation of intercultural encounters in a rapidly globalizing world [10]

Rather than passively mirroring social change, art actively participates in it by offering alternative perspectives, challenging dominant narratives, and imagining new social possibilities. Artists engage with issues such as migration, environmental crisis, technological transformation, inequality, and cultural hybridity, thereby influencing public discourse and collective consciousness. In this sense, art becomes both a site of critique and a laboratory for social and cultural innovation.

The mosaic approach advanced in this article underscores that cultural diversity should not be treated as a problem to be managed, standardized, or assimilated into a single dominant framework. Instead, diversity must be recognized and celebrated as a fundamental source of creativity, resilience, and intellectual vitality. Contemporary art does not thrive through uniformity or cultural purity; rather, it flourishes through ongoing cross-cultural dialogue, experimentation, and exchange. By embracing hybridity, multiplicity, and interaction, artistic practices contribute to a more inclusive, pluralistic, and interconnected global cultural landscape [11].

8. Conclusions

In the contemporary global era, art and culture emerge from continuous and dynamic interactions between local traditions and global influences. These interactions are neither linear nor predictable; they involve negotiation, adaptation, resistance, and transformation. Viewing art through the lens of the mosaic enables a more nuanced understanding of this complexity by recognizing that cultural diversity and global interconnectedness are not contradictory forces but mutually reinforcing processes. Local artistic traditions gain new visibility and relevance through global circulation, while global cultural flows are enriched by localized reinterpretations and creative reinterpretations.

This article contributes to the field of arts and cultural studies by reconceptualizing art not merely as an aesthetic product or economic commodity, but as a living, political, and transnational social practice. Art is shown to be deeply entangled with questions of identity, power, representation, and cultural belonging in a world increasingly shaped by digital media, migration, and global connectivity. By foregrounding the mosaic framework, the study offers a conceptual tool for understanding how artistic diversity can coexist with global interdependence in constructive and

transformative ways.

Ultimately, this perspective invites scholars, artists, and cultural practitioners to move beyond binary oppositions such as local versus global, tradition versus modernity, and center versus periphery. Instead, it encourages a more relational, dialogic, and inclusive approach to understanding art and culture in an era of profound social and technological change.

References

[1] J. Robb. "'Art' in Archaeology and Anthropology: An Overview of the Concept." Cambridge Archaeological Journal, 27 (2017)
[2] T. Anderson. "Art Education for Life." International Journal of Art and Design Education, 22 (2003)
[3] Spyros Sifakakis. "Contemporary art's audiences." European Journal of Cultural Studies, 10 (2007)
[4] Jonathan Harris. "Globalization and contemporary art." (2011).
[5] M. B. Rasmussen. "Art, Revolution and Communisation." Third Text, 26 (2012
[6] Dagomar Degroot. "Climate change and society in the 15th to 18th centuries." Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change, 9 (2018).
[7] J. Rentsch, Ioana Mot and A. Abbe. "Identifying the Core Content and Structure of a Schema for Cultural Understanding." (2009).
[8] Henk Roose, W. Roose and Stijn Daenekindt. "Trends in Contemporary Art Discourse: Using Topic Models to Analyze 25 years of Professional Art Criticism." Cultural Sociology, 12 (2018)
[9] Jonathan Harris. "Globalization and contemporary art." (2011)
[10]https://www.bumiayu.id/seni-rupa-modern-indonesia-tidak-lagi-berupa-simbol-simbol-yang-kaku-akan-tetapi-sudah-merupakan.html
[11] https://www.gramedia.com/literasi/author/umam-m/page/4/